

8-1994

What Three-, Four-, and Five-Year-Olds do in a Classroom Library Corner: Interactions with Books

Deborah Jill Buddle
The College at Brockport

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.brockport.edu/ehd_theses

 Part of the [Pre-Elementary, Early Childhood, Kindergarten Teacher Education Commons](#)

To learn more about our programs visit: <http://www.brockport.edu/ehd/>

Recommended Citation

Buddle, Deborah Jill, "What Three-, Four-, and Five-Year-Olds do in a Classroom Library Corner: Interactions with Books" (1994). *Education and Human Development Master's Theses*. 706.
http://digitalcommons.brockport.edu/ehd_theses/706

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Education and Human Development at Digital Commons @Brockport. It has been accepted for inclusion in Education and Human Development Master's Theses by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @Brockport. For more information, please contact kmyers@brockport.edu.

WHAT THREE-, FOUR-, AND FIVE-YEAR-OLDS DO IN A CLASSROOM

LIBRARY CORNER: INTERACTIONS WITH BOOKS

THESIS

Submitted to the Graduate Committee of the
Department of Education and Human Development

State University of New York

College at Brockport

In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
Masters of Science in Reading

by Deborah Jill Buddie

State University of New York

College at Brockport

Brockport, New York

August 1994

SUBMITTED BY:

Deborah J. Buddle 8/4/94
Candidate Date

APPROVED BY:

Arthur E. Smith 8/4/94
Thesis Advisor Date

Susan L. Begg 8/8/94
Second Faculty Reader Date

Patricia E. Baker 8/17/94
Director of Graduate Studies Date

Abstract

This study looked at the types of interactions three-, four-, and five-year-olds had with books in a preschool classroom library corner. This study took place in a rural cooperative preschool program consisting of 21 students.

Questions addressed were:

1. What percentage of time spent in the library corner was actually spent interacting with books?
2. What interactions did students have with books in the library corner?
3. What percentage of time spent in the library corner was spent on each type of interaction with books?

The data were collected over 32 classroom observation periods. Each observation took place during center time which was ten minutes daily. Every sixty seconds the researcher placed a tally mark in the correct interaction category on the checklist for each student in the library center. The six categories observed were adult reading to child, child reading to adult, child reading to self, student reading to student, choosing books, and off task. The researcher completed both a qualitative and quantitative analysis of the data collected.

This study shows that children exposed to literature are taking advantage of the opportunity. This can be seen in the fact that these 21 preschoolers were on task interacting with books 77% of the time.

Table of Contents

	Page
Chapter I	
Introduction	1
Purpose	2
Questions to be Answered	2
Need for the Study	3
Definition of Terms	5
Limitations of the Study	7
Chapter II	
Review of the Literature	8
Preschool Reading Philosophies	8
What Three- and Four-year-olds Know About Print	10
Preschools' Role in Fostering Literacy	11
What is Emergent Literacy?	12
Learning in a Print-rich Environment	12
Importance of Library Corners	13
What is a Cooperative Nursery School?	15
Summary	16
Chapter III	
Research Design	17
Purpose	17
Questions	17
Methodology	17
Subjects	17
Materials	18
Procedures	18
Analysis of the Data	20

Chapter IV

Analysis of the Data	21
Purpose	21
Findings and Interpretations	21
Time Spent Interacting with Books	21
Types of Interactions with Books	22
Adult Reading to Child	23
Child to Adult	24
Reading to Self	24
Student with Student	25
Choosing Books	25
Off Task	25
Percentages of Time Spent on Each Type of Interaction	26
Table 1	27

Chapter V

Conclusions and Implications	28
Purpose	28
Conclusions	28
Implications for Future Research	31
Classroom Implications	32
References	33
Appendix	36

Chapter I

Introduction

Learning to read is a developmental process. Some children may not be ready to read until kindergarten or first grade while others are ready by age three or four. Although a child must be ready to read, parents and preschool teachers alike can help foster a print rich environment. In many instances, children do not encounter literature or literacy environments at home. Therefore, it becomes the role of the daycare provider or preschool teacher to immerse children in literacy and provide them with positive literature experiences (Walter, 1986).

On the one hand, what children do not experience in their home environment must be provided by the school environment if children are going to be successful in their efforts at reading and writing. If children have not had experiences with books and writing at home, then the school must provide them. On the other hand, the school environment should become more like the home (p. 11).

Robinson (1990) describes a developmentally appropriate preschool as one with a print-rich environment. It has displays and activities designed to facilitate a natural interaction between children and written language. An important part of that print-rich environment is a classroom library corner where the children can experience literature first hand. A teacher can read to a class all she wants, but children must be allowed to experience literature for themselves (Cochran-Smith, 1984; Strickland & Morrow, 1988).

Durkin (1978) states that "It is impossible to know whether any given child is ready to read until he/she is given the opportunity to learn" (p. 176).

What three- and four-year-olds do in a book corner and how they interact with books is part of the reading process. Although they are usually considered nonreaders, they are looking at books and gaining meaning. This is one of the first steps to becoming a reader.

Purpose

The purpose of this study was to observe how three- and four-year-olds interacted with books in a classroom library corner and to determine how much time they spent during library corner time actually interacting with books.

Questions to be Answered

1. What percentage of the time spent in the library corner is spent actually interacting with books?
2. What interactions do students have with books in the library corner?
3. What percentage of the time spent in the library corner is spent on each type of interaction with books?

Need for the Study

A visitor to a primary elementary classroom usually will see student art work, bulletin boards, alphabet, number line, color chart, classroom library and everything labeled; chair, table, desk, sink, etc. Research has shown that the same is not true of preschool classrooms (Morrow, 1982; Robinson, 1990). When entering a preschool classroom you most likely will find children playing with one another or making art projects. Parents read bedtime stories to their children at home, so why should the children in preschools not have story time and not be allowed to interact with books?

If a teacher takes the time to show her students the value of books, the children will learn to treasure the books also (Morrow, 1982).

By the year 1995, two out of every three preschool children will have both parents in the work force. It is becoming apparent that day care providers will be responsible for the children's emergent literacy needs (Schuman & Reihan, 1990). "Since early literature exposure has a strong influence on success in beginning reading, books should play a significant role in the life of young children" (Morrow, 1982, p. 340). Children bring a lot of prior knowledge to the classroom and can usually find something in a story which relates to them. "What children bring to a story influences how they interpret it" (Conlon, 1992, p. 15).

Children need to be given the chance to explore books even when they are prereaders. By reading to them, they learn that print has meaning, books have authors and illustrators, and one reads from left to right. By rereading stories with children they begin to "chime in" when they hear familiar parts of the story. Before long, prereaders are "reading" books. They can then go into the classroom library and independently reenact stories or feel confident enough to choose a new story and make up the story that goes with it. Sulzby and Teale (1991) feel that the research indicates that children's independent reenactments of books play an important role in their literacy development. Independent reenactments provide opportunities for the children to use what they experienced through other storybook reading activities.

Definition of Terms

- prereaders** - children from birth until formally taught to recognize and read words (Burns, Rowe, and Ross, 1984, p. 32)
- emergent literacy** - term evolved from 1980's and derived from Marie Clay's work in early literacy. "Emergent literacy is concerned with the earliest phases of literacy development, the period between birth and the time when children read and write conventionally" (Sulzby & Teale, 1991, p. 728). "Reading and writing behaviors that precede and develop into conventional literacy" (Sulzby & Teale, 1991, p. 728)
- direct instruction** - the teaching of letter names and sounds to all students at the same time
- delayed instruction** - the teaching of reading skills, letter names and sounds when a child is developmentally ready

Independent reenactment - "occasions when children read familiar books in ways that are not yet conventional reading. Children reenact books spontaneously and show behaviors that indicate their growing awareness of features of written language" (Sulzby & Teale, 1991, p. 735)

cooperative nursery school - a preschool setting where parents take an active role in their child's education by being part of the administration for the school and volunteers in the classroom (Hildebrand, 1976, p.13)

Limitations of the Study

One limitation of this study was the small number of children studied.

A second limitation was that all the children were white and residing in small towns. They all attended one type of preschool, a cooperative one, which is not the 'typical' preschool environment.

The children in this study were of three age levels and were all considered prereaders. Therefore, the results of this study were looked at as a whole and not at three different age levels.

The teacher of this particular cooperative nursery school was also the researcher and therefore, may not not have been objective at all times.

The parents of the students volunteered their time in the classroom and therefore did not necessarily follow the routine of always being in the book corner with the children. Because the parents and the children's groupings changed throughout the study, the results are varied.

Because of these limitations, this study cannot be generalized for all preschoolers and is limited to the specific preschool and children studied herein.

Chapter II

Review of the Literature

Reading and young children go hand in hand. Not only do researchers investigate what kindergarteners and first graders know about reading, but they have become intrigued by what preschool children know about reading. These children at the preschool level are usually referred to as prereaders. They have not yet acquired the skills necessary to read words, sentences and books, but enjoy listening to stories being read. Huba (1986) and Harlin (1984) feel that a knowledge of reading increases with age, and that even by kindergarten some children still do not understand the concept of reading. Therefore, they too can be referred to as prereaders. For the purposes of this study, prereaders will be evaluated at the preschool level only.

Preschool Reading Philosophies

Various preschools have their own philosophy on "teaching" reading to their youngsters. MacLachlan-Smith (1991) views preschool with a freeplay philosophy. The freeplay philosophy sets up the environment for children to learn, but in order to learn, each child must engage himself with what is provided "active learning through play" (MacLachlan-Smith, 1991, p. 1). Another philosophy of preschool reading programs is the developmentally appropriate approach. In developmentally appropriate preschools, the classroom creates a print-rich environment which is designed to "facilitate a natural interaction

between written language and the children" (Robinson, 1990, p.1). This immersion in a print-rich environment may provide some children with surroundings which prepare them for reading instruction at an early age. Some instruction in letter names and letter sounds is introduced to prepare them for reading.

Kontos (1986) states that although most researchers feel some type of reading instruction is needed at the preschool level, these children should not be subjected to workbook type drill sessions. Although the freeplay and developmentally appropriate preschool reading philosophies are meant to promote reading, Morrow and Weinstein (1986) and Morrow (1992) found that books are not read to children on a regular basis. Robinson (1990) stated that 92% of the preschool teachers from his study reported reading to their students at least once a day while Morrow (1986) found that preschool teachers only read to student 58% of the time they were observed. Robinson (1990) found that of the 903 public and private preschools he studied, 87% had calendars, 76% had an alphabet posted, 71% had a helper board, 27% labeled furniture, 26% had written signs for children. Of these 903 preschools studied by Robinson (1990), teachers trained in early childhood education were polled to see what approaches they used for teaching reading; 63% endorsed an emergent literacy approach, 10% used direct instruction, and 18% delayed instruction until a child was developmentally ready.

What Three- and Four-year-olds Know About Print

From the day a child is born he/she begins to experience print through labels, signs, television, and soft-cover toy books (IRA, 1986; Neuman & Roskos, 1993; Stickland & Morrow, 1988a). "These early contacts with print represent the beginnings of a life-long process of learning to read and write" (Neuman & Roskos, 1993, p. 35).

"Children as young as 3 have already internalized a great deal of information about literacy" (Mass-Feary, 1991, p. 14). Harlin (1984) found that prereaders do have knowledge of story processing strategies and that this knowledge varies according to age, task format, and home reading experiences. After testing and interviewing kindergarten and entering first grade children, Mason (1980) found that most of the 200 students could recite the alphabet, call off words from a billboard, name and print letters of the alphabet, and "read" road signs. In Lavine's 1977 study, she found that three-year-olds had difficulty differentiating letters from numbers, but recognized both as print. Some researchers feel that these are not indicators that a child can read. Mason (1980) and Sulzby and Teale (1991), feel that although these may not be considered signs of reading, these "reading episodes" fall into the category of emergent literacy.

When adults read books to children, the children become aware that print has meaning, one reads from left to right, pictures can tell the story, and books have authors and illustrators (Conlon, 1992). When children are read to at an early age, they begin to

pick up on these elements of literacy. Yaden, Smolkin, and Conlon, (1989), state that "the child's own contribution to the process---via frequent questions and comments during the reading---is a more useful index of the rate and content of the child's acquisition of literacy knowledge" (p. 190-191).

After reviewing research by Hiebert (1981) and Kontos and Huba (1983 & 1985), Kontos (1986), has concluded that "print awareness emerges by age three and increases significantly prior to first grade" (p. 60).

Preschools' Role in Fostering Literacy

Children are continually observing what is around them. By doing so they learn from others: adults, parents, or older siblings (Teale, 1982). In Walter's (1986) study, she quotes Cochran-Smith (1984) as saying that "three-year-old children will learn about books, stories, and reading by listening to the teacher read books, by talking about the stories, by 'pretend-reading' and by acting out the stories" (p. 12). Some children do not have literate adults to emulate. Schuman and Relihan (1990) state that by 1995, two out of every three preschoolers will have both parents working. By the year 2000, it has been predicted that 70 to 80 percent of children under five will have mothers with full-time employment outside of the home (p. 20). Therefore the preschool must provide a reading environment when the home does not (Harlin, 1984; Snow, 1983; Walter, 1986). "The process of becoming literate is an active and developmental one in which children construct knowledge about

written language. Children therefore need time to experiment with reading and writing in the classroom by engaging in meaningful literacy activities" (Freeman & Hatch, 1989, p. 23).

What is Emergent Literacy?

"The term emergent literacy evolved during the early 1980's. It was derived in part from Marie Clay's (1966, 1967) influential research and from increasing references to emergent literacy in books and articles" (Sulzby & Teale, 1991, p. 727). Emergent literacy stresses that literacy learning begins at an early age "with listening, speaking, reading, and writing abilities developing concurrently and interrelatedly" (Teale, 1988, p.176) for children in literate societies. Children engage in literate behaviors long before they can read and write conventionally. Some of these literate behaviors include 'pretend' reading and independent reenactments of stories (Sulzby, 1985; Sulzby & Teale, 1991). Emergent literacy encompasses all literate behaviors that take place prior to being able to read conventionally (Sulzby, 1985).

Learning in a Print-rich Environment

Whether it be preschool, daycare, or an early elementary school setting, everything needs to be labeled. These labels provide printed words when a child asks: "What is that called?" Labels are not the only print that should be visible in the classroom. The alphabet, posters, numberlines, calendars, helper and birthday charts should be posted for all to see (MacLachlan-Smith, 1991; Robinson, 1990; Walter, 1986).

In her 1990 study, Robinson sent questionnaires to 903 private and public preschools. Of those 687, 296 responded as to how they implemented activities, displays, or materials to create a literacy-oriented classroom. The most frequently found displays were calendar (87%), alphabet (76%), names and helpers (71%), furniture labeled (27%) and written signs for children (26%) all were prepared by teachers.

Importance of Library Corners

The issue addressed in this current research revolves around children's interactions with literature made available to them. Many educators and researchers have stressed the importance of a "well-designed library corner" in the classroom. (Morrow & Weinstein, 1982). Teale and Martinez (1988) state that there is a three way approach to promote children's interactions with books: 1) read aloud to children dally, 2) establish a classroom library, and 3) utilize strategies that encourage children to visit and use the classroom library. The research of Sulzby (1985) suggest that how children interact with storybooks is similar to the

Plagetian stance, it is based on the belief that children's notions are conceptual, but, following Vygotsky, it is also assumed that the form of the physical environment is shaped by the social environment in which it is experienced, including the language used (p. 462).

Feeley (1983) suggests that preschools need to encourage a prerequisite knowledge for beginning reading by providing colorful and inviting picture books, a comfortable area for reading, and frequent sessions in which children are read to.

Children are provided with immediate access to books when a library is located right in their own classroom. This area should be partitioned off from the rest of the room to create privacy. It should include book cases which allow the books to be arranged so as to see the covers, a rug, pillows, armchairs, a table and chairs, and, if possible, a couch. Strickland and Morrow (1988) also suggest adding stuffed animals (related to books), flannel board, puppets, and viewmasters. These create a homey and relaxed atmosphere where children can feel comfortable reading.

Some activities that take place in the library corner are not considered reading by most people, but are considered to be ways of interacting with books: browsing, silent studying, discussing books or illustrations, acting out stories, listening to someone else read, storytelling, book handling, and emergent readings of books (Martinez & Teale, 1988; Morrow & Rand, 1991; Teale & Martinez, 1988).

In Shure's 1963 study, she investigated what children did during their nursery school's center time. These centers included blocks, art, books, dolls, and games. After 20 days of observations, she concluded that the book area was the least popular choice during the free play period.

Martinez and Teale (1988) observed children using books seven different ways in the book corner. The results of their study is as follows: 31% browsing, 31% silent studying, 27% emergent reading. The remaining 11% were considered infrequent uses.

Morrow (1982) and Morrow and Weinstein (1986) specifically studied the frequency of using of library centers. Out of 133 preschool - 2nd grade classrooms, 85% of the rooms only allowed the children to use the library corner during freetime. (Morrow, 1982). Morrow and Weinstein (1986) stated that

It is important to emphasize that the increase in library center use reflects an increase in actual reading. When children choose to go to the library center during free-choice time, reading--either alone, with a friend, or in conjunction with a felt board or roll movie---accounted for almost 70% of the activity that occurred there (p. 342).

Walters (1986) states that "most concepts are learned when children reconstruct the language and the behavior of the teacher when they 'pretend-read' on their own" (p.16). Teale and Martinez state it much more simply; "Children learn to read by reading" (p. 14).

What is a Cooperative Nursery School?

A cooperative nursery school is one where the parents take a large part in their child's preschool education. They usually have fewer teachers and more parents in the classroom. Because there are so many adults in the room, it allows for a high adult-child ratio (Hendrick, 1980). In a cooperative setting parents "pool their time, energy, money, and hire a qualified teacher" (Hildebrand, 1976, p.13). Tuition for these schools tend to be lower than the norm because parents volunteer much of their time as assistants in the classroom (Hildebrand, 1976).

Summary

Children are always aware of what is going on around them. Their observations contributed to their emergent literacy. Once children have knowledge of literacy, they must be allowed to put this knowledge to use. This can easily be done through the use of a library corner. It gives the children a chance to explore reading at their own will. The present study incorporates much of the research stated to determine how students actually interact with books when in the classroom library center.

Chapter III

Research Design

Purpose

The purpose of this study was to observe how three-, four-, and five-year-olds interact with books in a classroom library and to determine how much time they spent during library corner time actually interacting with books.

Questions

1. What percentage of the time spent in the library corner is actually spent interacting with books?
2. What interactions do students have with books in the library corner?
3. What percentage of the time spent in the library corner is spent on each type of interaction with books?

Methodology

Subjects

The subjects for this study were 21 preschool children, ranging in age from 3.7 to 5.2, from a rural cooperative preschool program. They were split between two classes, Monday/ Wednesday/ Friday for the older students and Tuesday/Thursday for the younger students. Of the 21 students, 8 were three-years-old, 10 were four-years-old, and 3 were five-years-old.

Materials

The students used a variety of picture books, easy readers, storybooks, nursery rhymes, class-made books, and big books in a classroom library.

The library corner consisted of a two-tier book shelf where books were displayed face front. Cushions, soft chairs, pillows, and a bulletin board were part of the library corner to allow for comfort while the students "read" books.

Procedures

Before the study began, the researcher spent a week observing the students in the library corner to determine the six categories that were used for the interaction checklist. The six categories were adult reading to child, child reading to adult, reading to self, read with another student, choosing books, and off task.

Each day students were assigned to one of four groups during center time. Each group consisted of 2-3 students and was assigned to the art center, playdough center, puzzle and game center, or the library center. (After the first four weeks of observations, the puppet theater center replaced the art center and the coloring center and drawing center replaced the playdough center. All other centers remained constant.) Students went to their assigned center directly after finishing their snack. Center time lasted for 10 minutes daily. Each group attended a different center every class day until the four center cycle was completed (Monday/Wednesday/Friday or Tuesday/Thursday). After the cycle was completed, student groupings were changed so that

they could learn to work and socialize with all the students. Then the four day class cycle started again. On Fridays the Monday/Wednesday/Friday class had a free day where they could choose their group of students to work with and which center they attended.

While at the library center, students had the opportunity to read books to themselves, with the teacher or parent volunteer, or with another student. The researcher used a checklist to observe the students every 60 seconds. With every observation the researcher placed a tally mark in the correct box on the checklist (see Appendix A) as to which interaction the student was having with the books. Some students were distracted by other students in the room and were considered to be "off task." The observations took place for 32 class days, not including five free days. The total number of minutes observed for the 21 students was 770 minutes, not including the free days. Observations did not take place when students chose to use the library corner at other times during the day, such as free time or during bathroom break.

Analysis of Data

The researcher completed a daily checklist for each student who was assigned to use the library corner during center time. (see Appendix A) The data were compiled into a qualitative and quantitative report as to which interactions the students chose to have with the books and what percentage of their time was spent on which type of interaction. The "off task" tally marks from the checklist were transformed into a percentage so as to show if the students actually used the book corner to interact with books when they were given the opportunity.

Chapter IV

Analysis of the Data

Purpose

The purpose of this study was to observe how three- and four-year-olds interacted with books in a classroom library corner and to determine how much time was actually spent during library corner time interacting with books.

Findings and Interpretations

Each category on individual students' checklists was tallied to determine percentages of time spent on each of the six observed activities in the classroom library corner. The percentages were based on the number of minutes spent on each category divided by the total 770 minutes observed.

I. Time Spent Interacting with Books

Of the 770 minutes observed, 590 minutes were actually spent interacting with books. This is equivalent to 77% of the observed time that was spent interacting with books.

II. Types of Interactions with Books

Interacting with books was considered to be five of the six categories on the checklist. Those five interactive categories were defined as:

1. Parent/Teacher/Adult---reading to student or group of students
2. Child "reading" to adult---Adult listened as child retells the story
3. Child reading to him/herself---Child retold a familiar story aloud to himself, looked at the pictures alone, or handled the book without appearing to be "reading"
4. Student reading with other student(s)---one student shared a book with one or more students by "reading" aloud, looked at the pictures, discussed the story, talked and related story to another book or something they might have done similar
5. Picking out a book---student was choosing one or more books from the book shelf or was just browsing the shelf

The sixth category on the checklist was 'Off Task'. This category was defined as the student partaking in activities other than reading books, book handling, choosing books from the shelf, reading with other students, or listening to a story being read by an adult. Students were considered 'off task' if they were playing with free time toys in the library corner, wrestling on the cushions in the library corner, or if they left the book corner to see what a classmate was doing at another center.

Adult Reading to Child

Adults were instructed to proceed with their normal cleanup activities after snack time before proceeding to the library corner. At the beginning of the study, parents were very good about doing so. As the school year and research observations progressed some parents stayed away from the library corner. When parents did go to the book corner, students were eagerly waiting for the parents to read to them. It seems that parents frequently read the same books because they read what the children in the book corner chose. Some parents held the attention of all the children in the book corner quite well. Other parents read to only their child and did not involve the other children. Other parents did not ask students if they wanted to listen to their story or not. They were instructed to sit down, be quiet, and listen.

Katelyn (3.11) and her cousin, Llana (3.10) rarely looked at books on their own, but asked an adult to read to them every time. Katelyn and Llana sat on the reader's lap for the entire ten minutes and stared at the pictures in the book being read. They only moved when they got off the reader's lap to get another book from the shelf.

Parents who were enthusiastic about books got the students involved by asking questions and predicting. The students seemed to be engrossed in a particular book being read when they were on their knees crowding around the reader or on the reader's lap.

Child to Adult

The only child whom the researcher observed reading to an adult, the teacher, rather than a parent, was Matthew (4.11). While Matt was waiting for the rest of his group to join him in the book corner, the teacher told Matt he could go ahead and start picking a book. Matt chose a book about a mother chicken whose egg had rolled away and disappeared. Although the teacher had never read the book to the class, Matt was retelling the whole story almost word for word. After recognizing this, the teacher asked Matt if he would mind starting the story over and reading it to her. Matt was excited about reading to the teacher and pointed to the pictures as he told the story. It did not look as if he paid any attention to the words on the page, but was extremely familiar with the story.

Reading to Self

When reading to themselves the children snuggled up on one of two cushion type couches of a foldout cushion chair. Molly (5.1) usually read aloud to herself and chose a variety of books to read. Damien (3.10) read quietly to himself looking through various train books. Every time Damien came to the library corner he would read the same three train books. Alex (3.9) always had a book in his hand. The majority of the time Alex chose a book about a baby alligator who was raised by a mother and father robin. He would get so excited looking through the book that he would leave the book corner to find an adult to come "really read" it to him.

Student with Student

Sometimes the students shared their books with each other. Kiernan (4.11) and Michael (4.11) would unfold the foldout chair, lie next to each other and hold the book up in the air so that they could both see the pictures. Zachary (4.10) and Robert (5.0) read different books but would call each other over to tell them what was happening in the story or to see a neat illustration. Kailan (4.8) and Ryan (4.6) looked at books together and made up stories to go along with the illustrations. They were frequently observed saying "No, that didn't happen. It happened this way...."

Choosing Books

Occasionally when the researcher looked into the book corner a student had finished a book and was choosing a new one. McKenzie (4.8) was an avid book browser. She sat on the stool in front of the bookshelf, picked up a book, leafed through the pages, and then chose another book to leaf through. Nikohl (4.8) was another avid book browser. Instead of even sitting down to leaf through the book, she just stood on the stool, leafed through, and put it back on the shelf.

Off Task

Students were off task every time they were distracted by a nearby toy, when they were talking to someone else about something not literature related, they left the book corner, or were playing or horsing around on the cushions. A lot of the off task time is a result of whom the children were grouped with for center time.

The groups were changed three times during the observation period (38 days). Children were easily distracted by their classmates in the art center which was nearby. The art center students came over frequently to ask the library corner students to look at the neat pictures they were making.

Near the end of the observation time, late May into early June, students seemed to get off task more easily. Rather than read in the library corner, the students began using it as a hide out to play and to see what they could get away since they thought no one could see them behind the book shelf.

III. Percentages of Time Spent on Each Type of Interaction

Of the 770 minutes observed by the researcher, parent/adult reading consisted of 269 minutes, child reading to adult consisted of 3 minutes, child reading to self consisted of 170 minutes, student reading with other students consisted of 69 minutes and choosing books consisted of 79 minutes. Students were off task for 180 minutes of the 770 minutes observed. The following table shows the number of minutes observed in each type of interaction and the percentages of the number of minutes in each interaction out of the total 770 minutes.

Table 1

Number of Minutes and Percentages of Time for Each Type of
Interaction

Category	Number of Minutes	Estimated Percentages (# mins./770 mins.)
Adult Reading	269	35%
Child to Adult	3	less than 1%
Reading to Self	170	22%
Student with Student	69	9%
Choosing Book	79	10%
Off task	180	23%

Chapter V

Conclusions and Implications

Purpose

The purpose of this study was to observe how three-, four-, and five-year-olds interact with books in a classroom library and to determine how much time they spent during the library corner time actually interacting with books.

Conclusions

The results and observations from this study suggest a few conclusions that can be drawn about three-, four-, and five-year-olds' interactions with literature.

This study shows that children exposed to literature are taking advantage of the opportunity. This can be seen in the fact that these 21 preschoolers were on task (interacting with books) 77% of the time as opposed to being off task 23% of the time.

Students interact with books in a variety of ways. There were five types of interactions in this study: adult reading to children, children reading to adult, reading to self, reading with other students, and choosing books are all components of emergent literacy.

The 35% of time that adults spent reading to children, as compared to the other results, shows that this is an important type of interaction with literature for young children due to the students' lack of traditional reading skills since they have not been formally taught beginning reading skills and they have become

dependent on their reading role model - the adult. On the other hand, the low percentage, less than 1%, of children reading to adults shows that either the children did not take the initiative to ask an adult to listen or they feel that they are not capable of "real reading." The researcher tends to believe that the second conclusion is more true. On occasion the researcher observed the teacher asking various children to read to her and they responded by saying, "I can't read that." The researcher/teacher felt that in order to keep the study from being swayed she did not interrupt or encourage the students in the library corner for the ten minutes of daily center time.

Students were off task 23% of the observed time. Perhaps some reasons for this percentage were the time of the year, the number of different adults involved, and the change of student groupings. This study took place during the later months of the school year, April, May and June. This is a difficult time of year to collect accurate data due to the number of holidays and the highly anticipated summer vacation. There was also a variety of adults used in the reading corner. Although they were given specific instructions each adult interacted differently with each individual child. There was one change in the student groupings that could have also affected the data. Some students were more able to stay on task while involved in one grouping over another. This could be attributed to a lack of or an excess of social skills.

When the children were "reading to themselves" it is not known for sure if they were actually reading or perhaps browsing, looking at the illustrations or looking and pointing at the words. By doing these elements of emergent literacy the students are on their way to becoming readers as opposed to remaining prereaders.

The researcher was only able to record students picking out books 10% of the time. Perhaps this is due to the fact that it takes only seconds to choose a book as compared to minutes to read a book. The students who were recorded picking out books for a lengthy period of time may have chosen them for a variety of reasons: the cover was familiar, the cover was visually appealing, or they may have selected it at random.

This study reinforces the studies done by previous researchers such as Sulzby (1985), Teale and Martinez (1988), Teale (1982, 1988), and Robinson (1990) as to the importance of emergent literacy in the lives of young children. Sulzby (1985) found ten types of reading behaviors and that children develop tremendously through interacting with storybooks. Sulzby "indicates that, prior to formal instruction, important development is going on" (p. 479).

Teale and Martinez (1988) concluded that:

The enriched understanding of early childhood literacy development provided by the past decade of research has helped more people see how the classroom can promote young children's involvement in the act of reading on a daily basis and see why excellent early childhood educators have long combined reading aloud, discussing stories with children, extensive use of classroom libraries, and many, many kinds of informal drawing, writing, and "reading" activities. The combination of group storybook reading, the use of the classroom library, and cultivating emergent storybook reading behaviors seems an especially promising one for getting young children on the right road to reading (p. 14).

Implications for Future Research

The results of this study show that there are more areas of emergent literacy that need to be examined.

Because three age levels were considered as one group in this study, future research would benefit from comparing the interactions with books of each individual age level to see at which age level the use of a classroom library becomes most beneficial to their emergent literacy needs.

Since this was a cooperative preschool setting, the frequent change of parents played a role in the percentage of time adults spent reading to children (35%). This may have been a greater percentage if the parent or volunteer remained constant. Therefore, future researchers may want to repeat this study in a preschool program in which there is a paid aide or a single volunteer who is able to devote time reading to the students in the library corner on a consistent basis.

Although the free choice days were not included in this study, the results of those 200 minutes were: 1) adult reading to child 60 min. (30%), child reading to adult 1 min. (less than 1%), 3) reading to self 53 min. (26.5%), 4) student reading with students 18 min. (9%), 5) choosing book 17 min. (9%), and off task 51 min. (25.5%). These percentages are similar to those of the actual study. Therefore, the study may want to be repeated with the students choosing which center they wish to attend during center time.

Students were also observed using the book corner outside of center time. Future research may wish to include students' use of classroom libraries at any time of the day.

Classroom Implications

This study reinforces the idea that a classroom library is a beneficial item in every classroom. At the preschool level a classroom library gives the students a chance to interact with books on a daily basis. Because some children are not exposed to literature in their home environment, they need some type of exposure to literature before their elementary school days. By having a classroom library in the preschool setting where children are not required to read for an assignment, but rather as a free reading activity, they will be exposed to print, various types of illustrations, picture books, fairy tales, fiction, non-fiction, and big books. These kinds of exposure have been recommended by the studies of Martinez and Teale (1988) and Strickland and Morrow (1988a).

REFERENCES

- Burns, P., Roe, B., & Ross, E. (1984). Teaching reading in today's elementary schools (3rd ed.). Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Conlon, A. (1992). Giving Mrs. Jones a hand: Making group storytime more pleasureable and meaningful for young children. Young Children, 47(3), 14-18.
- Durkin, D. (1978). Pre-first grade starts in reading: Where do we stand? Educational Leadership, 36(3), 174-177.
- Feeley, J.T. (1983). What do preschoolers know about print in books? Anaheim, CA: Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the International Reading Association. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 230 897)
- Harlin, R. P. (1984). Prereaders' story processing skills. Jacksonville, FL: Paper Presented at the Annual Meeting of the Florida Reading Association. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 254 834)
- Hendrick, J. (1980). The whole child: New trends in early education (2nd ed.). St. Louis: C. V. Mosby.
- Hiebert, E. H. (1988). The role of literacy experiences in early childhood programs. The Elementary School Journal, 89(2), 161-170.
- Hildebrand, V. (1976). Introduction to early childhood education (2nd ed.). New York: Macmillan.
- Huba, M. E.; and others. (1986). Print awareness in prereaders and subsequent reading achievement. Austin, TX; Paper Presented at the Annual Meeting of the National Reading Conference. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 278 976)
- IRA. (1986). IRA position statement on reading and writing in early childhood. The Reading Teacher, 39, 823-824.
- Kontos, S. (1986). What preschool children know about reading and how they learn it. Young Children, 42(1), 58-66.
- Lavine, L. O. (1977). Differentiation of letter-like forms in prereading children. Developmental Psychology, 13, 89-94.

- MacLachlan-Smith, C. (1991). Emergent literacy in New Zealand: An examination of promotion and practices in early Childhood Centres. Dunedin, New Zealand: Paper Presented at the Early Childhood Convention. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 342 485)
- Martinez, M. & Teale, W. (1988). Reading in a kindergarten classroom library. The Reading Teacher, 41, 568-573
- Mason, J. M. (1980). When do children begin to read: An exploration of four year old children's letter and word reading competencies. Reading Research Quarterly, 15, 203-227.
- Mass-Feary, M. (1991). A study of preschool children telling "book stories". master's thesis, State University of New York at Brockport, Brockport, NY.
- Morrow, L. M. (1982). Relationships between literature programs, library corner designs, and children's use of literature. Journal of Educational Research, 75(6), 339-344.
- Morrow, L. M. & Rand, M. K. (1991). Promoting Literacy during play by designing early childhood classroom environments. The Reading Teacher, 44(6), 396-402 .
- Morrow, L. M. & Weinstein, C. S. (1982). Increasing children's literature through program and physical design changes. The Elementary School Journal, 83(2), 131-137 .
- Morrow, L. M., Weinstein, C. S. (1986). Encouraging voluntary reading: The impact of literature on children's use of library centers. Reading Research Quarterly, 21(3), 330-346.
- Neuman, S. B. & Roskos, K. A. (1993). Language and literacy learning in the early years. Orlando: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Robinson, S. (1990). A survey of literacy programs among preschools. Boston, MA: Paper Presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 317 293)
- Schuman, D. R. & Relihan, J. (1990). The caregiver's role in emergent literacy. Children Today, 19(5), 20-21.
- Shure, M. B. (1963). Psychological ecology of a nursery school. Child Development, 34, 979-992.
- Snow, C. E. (1983). Literacy and language: Relationships during the preschool years. Harvard Educational Review, 53(2), 165-189.

- Strickland, D. S. & Morrow, L. M. (1988a). Creating a print rich environment. The Reading Teacher, 42, 156-157.
- Strickland, D. S. & Morrow, L. M. (1988b). New perspectives on young children learning to read and write. The Reading Teacher, 42, 70-71.
- Sulzby, E. (1985). Children's emergent reading of favorite storybooks: A developmental study. Reading Research Quarterly, 20(4), 458-481.
- Sulzby, E. & Teale, W. H. (1991). Emergent literacy. Handbook of reading research: Chapter 26, Vol. 2 (p. 727-757). New York, NY: Longman.
- Teale, W. H. (1982). Toward a theory of how children learn to read and write naturally. Language Arts, 59(6), 555-570.
- Teale, W. H. (1988). Developmentally appropriate assessment of reading and writing in the early childhood classroom. The Elementary School Journal, 89(2), 173-183.
- Teale, W. H. & Martinez, M. G. (1988). Getting on the right road to reading: Bringing books and young children together in the classroom. Young Children, 44(1), 10-14.
- Walter, E. L. (1986). A longitudinal study of literacy acquisition in a Native American community: Observation of the three-year-old class at Lummi Headstart. San Francisco, CA: Paper Presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Education Research Association. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 314 745)
- Yaden, D. B., Smolkin, L. B. & Conlon, A. (1989). Preschooler's questions about pictures, print conventions, and story text during reading aloud at home. Reading Research Quarterly, 24(2), 188-214.

Appendix A

Date _____

Name _____

category	number of minutes
1) Adult to Student	
2) Child to Adult	
3) Self	
4) Student to Student	
5) Choosing Book	
6) Off Task	