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Report of the AFT Committee
Regarding a College-wide Faculty Review Committee on Promotions

The Committee has considered a proposal to establish a college-wide
commitee for the purpose of making judgements on applications for promotion.
Such a committee could be developed from the current provisions of Step 8.*
We are opposed to the establishment of such a committee at this time.

There are two reasons that make it difficult to assess faculty performance,
especially given the absence of a college-wide statement of expectations.
First, faculty workloads differ markedly from department to department,
causing significant differences in the level of performance which can be
expected in the areas of scholarship and service. Second, the measure of
scholarly achievement requires different yardsticks in the various dis-
ciplines of the College.

We also note, by analogy, that the current collective-bargaining agreement
prohibits the introduction of any new college-wide committees to consider
reappointment and tenure.

*See Procedures for Implementing Promotions