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Abstract

This qualitative study explores the impact technology reading programs have on second grade students’ listening comprehension as well as, their experiences with the programs. Student participants used three reading programs daily on an iPad to assess student listening comprehension through five question assessments. The three programs used in this study were RazKids, TumbleBooks and Bookflix. Data were collected for a 5-week period using observational notes, assessment results, from all three reading programs, and student interviews. Results suggest that student engaging student body language, specific program features and student preference of reading program impacted listening comprehension results. Additional research should be conducted to determine benefits of specific reading programs for student literacy learning.
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Introduction

Since I can remember, technology has been a part of my personal and professional life. When I was young, operating my father’s large IBM desktop was a privilege. However, as time progressed operating the computer became mundane for the reason that the screen was too small and the desktop was stationary. Within my own classroom, student desktops are no longer used for learning and in their place enter iPads, laptops and smartphones. During my primary years, my teachers taught using chalk, white boards, and the always-reliable pencil and paper. As a current educator, the classroom tools utilized have evolved and because of this a new focus on education has emerged which has affected my practices.

As noted above, within my second grade classroom, twenty students read and write using technological devices. These devices are a part of their technological experience into the twenty-first century. According to researchers, Cheung & Slavin (2013), “educational technology, is defined as a variety of electronic tools and applications that help deliver learning content and support the learning process” (pg. 3). In a second grade classroom these “educational technologies” (Cheung & Salvin, 2013) consist of iPads, and various applications embedded in the devices designed for literacy learning growth. The iPad offers different features that are unmatched to those of earlier technologies such as the desktop (Hutchinson, Beschorner & Schmidt-Crawford, 2012). These unique features include touch screen and interactive elements, and endless amounts of applications for pleasure and academia (Hutchinson, Beschorner & Schmidt-Crawford, 2012). The use of technology, such as the iPad, has allowed students’ learning to become specific and is meant to create independence for student learners. These new aspects of
educational technologies (Cheung & Salvin, 2013) have enhanced student learning and these enhancements have lead teachers and educators to explore the purpose of technology use in the classroom.

**Topic and Research Problem**

It is because of the implementation of iPads and other technological devices that administrators, school districts, and teachers, like myself, are interested in exploring the impact on student literacy learning. Technology is widely used across vocations, classrooms, and individuals’ personal lives. According to a survey conducted in 2015 from the PEW Research Center, “up to 92% of adults in 2015 owned and used a smart phone device, and up to 72% owned and used a computer in their daily life” (Jenkins, 1995). To keep up with a consistently evolving technological society and workforce, schools have provided students with technology devices to foster their academic growth and build their understanding of the tools (Herold, 2016). Therefore, it only seems natural that schools incorporate technology into the classroom to keep up with the high demands of a technology driven society.

Researchers and educators have developed a system of implementation to assist teachers with technology use in the classroom. This implementation is evident in the TPACK system or, Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge. This system of technology implementation is based on the pedagogical content knowledge system created by Lee Shulman in 1986 (Koehler & Mishra, 2009). TPACK is critical to building a technological foundation in the classroom because it combines the use of content, and good teaching practices with the implementation of technology use. According to Koehler and Mishra (2005), “Good teaching with technology, therefore,
cannot be achieved by simply adding a new piece of technology upon existing structures. Good teaching, with technology, requires a shift in existing pedagogical and content domains” (p. 3). The use of TPACK in classroom planning and instruction has helped to create a connection between content and technology use, which has lead to a push in the purchasing of technological devices across school districts.

According to the popular education site, Education Week, “Schools purchased more than 23 million devices for classroom use in 2013 and 2014 alone” (Herold, 2016). As a result of these devices, students are using the new technological tools, iPads, laptops, and eReaders to engage in typical learning techniques, such as, reading stories, and writing using the Internet. The implementation at a state level, of these technological devices in the classroom, opens up a variety of academic tools to educators.

This research study is conducted in a Conklin Country (pseudonym), New England classroom. Each student in the district is provided an iPad used specifically for arithmetic, typing skills and reading programs. One of the most useful features of iPads is, applications for interactive and digital books (Hutchinson, 2012). In this study, students choose texts from a large library of online books from, picture to chapter books. Furthermore, students in my class have the ability to choose books based on their topic of interest, reading level and specific program. Students can listen to and read books from different programs such as TumbleBooks, RazKids and BookFlicks.

These programs are chosen and implemented at the district and classroom levels for literacy instruction and assessment. Multiple studies assert these programs offer texts that have created new modes of reading, and with that have created new modes of reading comprehension (Hutchinson, A., Beschorner, B., & Schmidt-Crawford, D., 2012;
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Hutchison, A., Woodward, L., 2014; Pearman, C. J., 2008). With the use of these programs in schools, and in this study, understanding how these programs affect student comprehension and comprehension learning is of great importance. The implementation of these devices according to Mcjenna, Reingling, Labbo & Keiffer, (1999) stems from the transition of information from print to electronic form, where books are becoming increasingly available in electronic representations. These electronic representations of text are making it more likely that students will be exposed to the new formats of literacy. The increase in visual elements is only one aspect of eBooks that is unlike traditional print.

In addition to the visual and aural elements of eBooks the manipulation of the device is largely different from traditional print. As previously stated in Ciampa (2012) eBooks create interest in reading; however, students using the reading programs view the text through a new mode of reading comprehension. Although the manipulation of text is far different from the past it is evident that students need to develop additional reading and comprehension skills when using these programs.

As students use these technological tools less are reaching for pencil, paper and books. As an educator fostering student growth and academic learning, using these technologies poses a new challenge: Are these tools hindering or aiding in primary students understanding of the basic literacy skills? What are the affects these technological tools have on literary growth in reading and listening comprehension? To determine the significance technology and literacy, this paper seeks to explore these questions and ideas through practitioner researcher.
Significance of Problem

It is easy to see the appeal the iPad and technology provide to students and educators because of the numerous applications for the classroom and personal use. According to the National Center for Education Statistics 2016 (NCES) “In 2013, 71 percent of the U.S. population age 3 and over used the Internet” (pg. 1). Furthermore, Benjamin Herold (2016) of Education Week asserts that public schools in the United States have spent more than 3 billion dollars a year on digital programs for students (pg. 1). Many schools today have become technologically driven and continue to push the use of these technological tools in classrooms across the country (Herold, 2016). With such a technologically driven society, how do educators instruct and select these tools and programs to benefit their students?

For teachers this question means, guiding graduates to become technology proficient across all academic areas. It is the goal for many school districts to graduate students proficient in technology, for example, in New York State; Governor Cuomo approved funds and government resources as “a technology investment” in several New York State schools. With the implementation of these technology tools, educators must now determine how to incorporate them to their instruction. Determining the usage and purposes of these tools has created some difficulty for educators; a lack of educational support and knowledge has been explored about the influence and impact technology programs have on student literary growth. According to Hutchinson, Beschorner, & Schmidt-Crawford (2012), teachers continue to struggle with technology implementation in the classroom. Therefore, in what ways can educators decide how these technology-reading programs affect and impact student literacy learning? This study seeks to
determine how these technology reading programs influence and impact student comprehension through the use of iPad devices.

**Rational**

Educators are researching new instructional strategies and programs to foster student understanding across content areas. According to Hutchinson (2012) digital texts can require different comprehension strategies; retelling details, determining main idea, and decoding unknown words are some of the examples of strategies that differ, when using technology-reading programs. These new strategies are called New Literacies (Hutchinson, Beschnorner & Schmidt-Crawford, 2012). These New Literacies create different ways to read and comprehend digital texts. These devices, such as, the iPad, laptop, and eReaders, are implemented to further student learning through the use of technological reading programs. Furthermore, teachers within this school have a choice of which technological reading programs to implement into their curriculum and literacy learning. This case study, seeks to determine which technological programs have affects on student literacy learning and understanding in both reading and listening comprehension, and what students say about these programs and their experiences.

School districts nationwide look at second grade comprehension skills as an essential piece to literacy learning. Each of these reading programs provides literary support for primary grade students. At this time a reading standard and expectation for a second grade students, according to the New York Common Core, is to read for learning and understanding, instead of learning how to read.

There are extensive online reading programs that provide a wide range of components to assess and instruct student learning. RazKids (Version 3.3.0, RazKids
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Inc., 2016) is a popular reading program that provides student access to a wide variety of texts, organized by Fountas and Pinnell (2016) reading levels, age and grade levels. This program provides comprehension quizzes to assess student understanding of the texts. Similar to RazKids (2016), TumbleBooks (TumbleBooks Inc., 2015) is an online reading program, which provides interactive texts and listening assessments to determine student-reading comprehension. BookFlix (Scholastic, 2016) is an Scholastic program providing interactive videos and stories that connect fiction and nonfiction texts.

All of these reading programs offer various tools, applications and features that are designed to benefit students’ progress in reading. With increased access to the technology reading programs via student iPads, I seek to better understand the implication of technology in the literary program at my school. I want to identify the impact of the reading programs; RazKids (2016), TumbleBooks (2015), and Bookflix (2016) have on student comprehension by exploring which programs best support the literary growth of my students.

Purpose for Study

This study discusses and explores the current instructional practices of technology reading programs widely used in primary classrooms, and in my own classroom, and whether they support students’ reading and understanding. The affect the programs have on student’s listening and reading comprehension is vital for student literacy growth because the results are measured by the state and reflect the literacy academic growth of the district. Therefore, since little research has been conducted regarding technology reading programs and their affect on comprehension, this study will explore the impact these reading programs have on primary students’ listening comprehension, to help
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provide a clear choice and purpose for the usage of these programs in primary classrooms.

Research Questions

Through an understanding of literary comprehension, an iPad, and analysis of three reading programs this study has allowed me to explore the following research questions.

1. What influence do technological reading programs have on primary students’ listening comprehension?

2. How do students’ reported experiences with the different reading programs employed at my school, affect their listening comprehension?

Literature Review

The following literature review explores academic concepts that concern this study and support my research questions. The academic concepts reviewed in the following sections include: comprehension, educational technologies. Additionally, this section explores the use of three technology-reading programs and their effect on education in classrooms today, as well as in my own classroom. Technology has grown to become an important aspect of individuals’ professional and personal lives; therefore, technology has become important for schools. An additional importance in schools today is the use of comprehension and assessing students’ literacy understanding of a text. Comprehension and technology are both supported by the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) and are practiced across content areas and grade levels. To support the CCSS and assessments, technology-reading programs are designed and implemented to support student comprehension and literacy learning in the classroom. Both, technology and
comprehension are implemented within in the classroom discussed in this study. By
exploring the importance of comprehension on student literary growth, with the use of
technology devices like the iPad, this section explores the connection between both
concepts and how the influence students literary experiences and understanding.

Comprehension

Comprehension is an important part of a students’ literacy understanding and
learning. It is considered a complex cognitive task in which a student draws on a variety
of skills to maintain an understanding of texts and topics (Carretti, Caldarola, Tencati,
Cornoldi, 2014). When students are learning to read they focus on print and alphabetic
principle; however, when students read for comprehension they are reading to answer
questions, unlock new ideas as well as create their own. This study focuses on
comprehension because it is the basis for understanding and is a large focus in the
classroom across grade levels, specifically in my own classroom.

There is an emphasis for comprehension in education, as seen through the
Common Core Standards (2016) and the implementation of TPACK (Koehler & Mishra,
2009) in my school district; however, there are multiple forms of comprehension
including, listening and reading, both of which will be focused on in this study.
Additionally, there are new academic and technological tools used to aid in
comprehension; for example, books are now in digital form and these digital texts have
fostered an exploration of “new literacies” (Arellano-Osuna & et al., 2009) in which
students’ comprehension of these digital texts is altered because the text is no longer in
traditional print. However, the importance of comprehension remains the same, it is the
basis for learning.
Listening comprehension. There are a variety of definitions for the term “listening comprehension.” For example, Hamouda (2013) define listening comprehension as interactive and where ideas originate. This definition directly reflects individuals’ abilities to understand material for the purpose of gaining knowledge. This process can occur through a variety of modalities including, conversations, announcements, speeches, stories and radio (Gilajani & Sabouri, 2016). Individuals engage in listening comprehension daily and often consider the activity to be a passive form of learning. However, according to Shi (2015) listening requires active engagement. The active engagement of listening comprehension has made it an important skill within student literacy learning. An additional definition of listening comprehension according to Hamouda (2013), discusses comprehension as demonstrating understating through repetition. This form of listening comprehension analyzes verbal skills and the ability to follow directions from a speaker. Although all of the definitions of listening comprehension differ, the underlining goal of each is to gain knowledge and understanding.

For the purpose of this study, I will focus on Gilajani and Sabouri’s definition of listening comprehension, understanding material for the purpose of gaining knowledge. This definition directly relates to the purpose of reading in my classroom, where students are asked to demonstrate their knowledge of a book or topic. Additionally, students are asked to demonstrate and acquire that knowledge through discussions, questions and a variety of reading sources and modalities, in which Gilajani and Sabouri describe in their definition of listening comprehension. Although there are various comprehension definitions, no matter the specific definition of listening comprehension, it is an essential
The essential skill of listening comprehension is noted in several studies (See list citations: Ahn & Kang, 2016; Hemmati, Gholamrezapur, & Hessamy, 2015; Ciampa, 2012) and is seen in the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) across content and grade levels. One study by Wolgramm, Suter & Goksel (2016), explores the importance of listening comprehension for English Language Learners during instruction. This study found that listening plays an important role in students’ reading comprehension, in that it becomes a precursor for reading comprehension for many students (Wolggramm, Suter, & Goksel, 2016). The importance of listening comprehension is also evident in the CCSS where speaking and listening standards are spread throughout all content areas, including, science and mathematics. The comprehension findings of Wolggram, Suter, and Goskel (20126) study, indicate that students gain knowledge of topics, prior to being able to read. Furthermore, students gain listening skills through model and application of listening strategies.

Additionally, Wolgramm, Suter & Goksel (2016), discuss the importance of listening strategies, such as, concentration and using the metacognitive skills to focus on directions and oral material. The process of concentration requires teaching individuals the importance of remaining focused on the material being coded, processed, and then stored for further analysis (Wolfgramm, Suter, & Goksel, 2016). Students learning to read and comprehend often need assistance with reading strategies and concentration when staying focused on the reading material. Schools follow the CCSS as a guide for educators in their instruction of listening strategies to teach students how to comprehend, concentrate and understand material. As these concepts are also stressed in my school,
learning comprehension is important because it measures understanding, and this is evident in the CCSS.

**Listening comprehension and the Common Core State Standards.** Instructing students to concentrate and use comprehension strategies when listening is a part of the CCSS teaching standards in all grade levels, across all content areas. These standards found in the CCSS are implemented in school districts from Pre-Kindergarten to 12th Grade and across several states. There are CCSS skills and applications centered on listening comprehension skills. A specific example of a listening comprehension in prekindergarten standards (2016) states, “With guidance and support, confirm understanding of a text read aloud or information presented orally or through other media by asking and answering questions about key details and requesting clarification if something is not understood” (pg. 13). This specific CCSS standard illustrates the importance of listening skills as it relates to listening comprehension. Within my classroom listening comprehension standards are listed in specific categories, such as speaking and listening, where students are asked to comprehend, analyze and critic information presented orally. When students listen to an eBook, the information is presented orally; therefore, assessing comprehension of material being presented orally is an important aspect of a students’ overall comprehension skill.

Embedded within the CCSS literacy standards (2016) are technological skills and applications designed to teach students necessary skills needed for college and the workforce. Technology is seen within the CCSS standards in all literacy concepts including, reading, writing, speaking and listening. With this push towards technological standards districts, educators and students are beginning to utilize educational
technologies in the classroom (Cheung & Salvin, 2013). This push towards technology is seen in my second grade classroom, with the implementation of iPads. To meet the CCSS technology standards teachers are using these iPads for assessments and instruction.

**Educational Technologies**

Educational Technologies are defined as, “a goal oriented problem-solving approach utilizing tools, techniques, theories, and methods from multiple knowledge domains” (Luppicini, 2005, p. 103). These domains consist of resources that help facilitate learning and growth, such as, programs, and search engines. The specific types of programs vary depending upon the district, school and educator; however, technologies are prominent because of the wide range of abilities and options offered to improve student learning. Technologies improve student learning across all content areas, including literacy education and comprehension.

According to Cheung & Slavin (2003) “educational technologies” have become a popular tool used to aid struggling readers. These specific tools range from, computers to iPads and individual handheld devices. These devices are used to improve student fluency, decoding strategies and comprehension. In theory, technological devices can adapt to individual students’ educational needs. For example, the technologies help fill academic learning gaps across content areas (Cheung & Slavin, 2005). The devices that are seen implemented in many school districts across America are computers, iPads, eReaders and Smart boards. Although new technologies are not as widely used in classrooms today, there are initiatives schools and districts are implementing to support student growth digitally.

**One-to-one initiative.** Districts are recognizing the use of digital tools as a way to
benefit student learning and academic growth. A one-to-one initiative provides a technological tool to students across all grades, within their districts (Sauers & McLeod, 2012). Although not all schools systems have adapted this practice a variety of research has been conducted to support the implementation of these devices to each student.

Suhr, Hernandex, Grimes & Warschauer (2010), in their study the participants had observed academic gains in both writing and literacy standards. Researchers observed gains in what they called “the fourth grade slump,” where students are begin to reading for knowledge and understanding, instead of learning how to read. Suhr, Hernandex, Grimes & Warschauer (2010), found that students with a laptop device made greater strides in literacy responses than students without a laptop device. The evidence of a greater literacy response indicates the students’ growth in comprehension development when reading which is beneficial to students’ literacy learning. An additional technology study by Dunleavy & Heinecke (2007) found, academic growth in math and sciences. In this study, students improved their scores on the science achievement test when using a laptop device. In support of academic growth across content areas another study (Light, McDermott, & Honey, 2002) found, that “after several years of one-to-one laptop use students scored higher than their peers across all subject areas” (p. 3). According to these studies, students have demonstrated positive growth using a technological device. As discussed in the various studies these laptops and personal devices support academic growth across content areas when used by students (Dunleavy, & Heinecke, 2007, Light, McDermott, & Honey, 2002). These findings would indicate support for students to consistently utilize electronic devices for academic growth.
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The school district, in this case study, implements a one-to-one initiative in order to promote academic growth across all grade levels. This initiative provides students of all grade levels with iPads for academic use. The goal of the initiative is to improve student learning, specifically student literacy learning, improve technological development to meet CCSS standards and state goals. The implementation is new, only having been practice for two years. Although the implementation is new, students are adapting well to the educational technology, using the iPads daily for literacy and mathematics instruction and assessment. These iPads provide software programs and applications that are designed to support student growth across content areas. Although technological devices are key in individual education the programs and applications themselves are critical in enhancing student literacy learning. Therefore, it is important to have a clear understanding of the history of the one-to-one initiative in order to understand my participants and study’s context.

Technological Reading Programs

Technological software programs, according Cambridge International Examinations (2013) “are the most popular for both teaching and learning” (p. 2). The popular use of applications has lead researchers to analyze specific software programs, created to benefit student learning. According to Cheung & Slavin (2013), “the potential use of these technology programs, to enhance student learning specifically to help students who are struggling to learn to read, have been anticipated for many years” (p. 278). There are many programs to count, created to aid students in their literacy learning, specifically their decoding, fluency and comprehension in reading. For the purpose of this study, three programs, used by participants in the second grade classroom, are analyzed
for the impact on students’ listening comprehension. These programs are RazKids, TumbleBooks and BookFlix.

**RazKids reading program.** RazKids is a popular reading program designed to provide leveled stories and assessments to students across grade levels (RazKids Inc, 2015). A major benefit to this reading program is the over 800 leveled eBooks, across a wide range of topics and electronic assessments that measure student comprehension. In my second grade classroom students are assigned a reading level and access their leveled books through the RazKids application on the iPads. Each student chooses an eBook from their leveled library in which they can read independently, or listen to the story from a narrator. Educators across the district use the program daily, as well as, 165 countries around the world, to improve and analyze student reading and literacy growth. (RazKids Inc, 2015). A similar program, TumbleBooks, provides a wide range of eBooks for students.

**TumbleBooks.** TumbleBooks is an eBook resource, which contains over 1000 titles and genres of literature. Individuals and school districts that subscribe to the online reading program are offered eBooks and additional resources such as games, puzzles and quizzes (TumbleBooks Inc, 2015). Similarly to RazKids, TumbleBooks offers a tracking feature in which educators are able to personalize the students’ reading programs and track their comprehension achievement using an online profile. An additional benefit to this reading program is that students are able to monitor and track their own progress by examining their comprehension assessment results (TumbleBooks Inc, 2015). Students are able to review previously taken exams, reflect on difficult questions, and self monitor their progress. TumbleBooks library offers a variety of interactive stories in which
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characters move and students may click on objects within the story. This interactive feature offers some similarity to the final online reading program, Bookflix.

**Bookflix.** Bookflix, a Scholastic online reading program for grades pre-K through third, assists students and educators on developing early reading skills (Scholastic, 2016). This program has a variety of similar features to TumbleBooks (2015) and RazKids (2016), such as, interactive videos, digital texts, puzzles, lesson plans and assessments. The unique feature this program contains is a book pairing in which “the paring of fiction and nonfiction has been shown to be an effective way to develop critical reading and comprehension skills” (Scholastic, 2016). At the end of each paring lesson students may play an educational game that directly assesses their knowledge from both the fiction and nonfiction texts. Although students are able to access these pairing assessments directly from electronic devices, there is no feature in which students are able to track their assessment results. Although assessments and results are unable to be tracked electronically, an interesting element the program offers is an organization of stories through the use of social and science themes. Some of these themes are, family and community, music and rhyme, animals and nature and earth and sky (Scholastic, 2016). Educators can use these themes and stories, to connect social subjects, science and social studies, to literacy.

**The Goal of the Reading Programs**

With an emphasis on comprehension, as seen in the CCSS and in the school district of this study, the goal and purpose of each of these reading programs: RazKids, TumbleBooks and Bookflix, is to provide student readers engaging and interactive stories that assess student reading and understanding. These programs are chosen because they
are provided and used by the district of each second grade student in this study.

According to the popular teacher site, Edutopia (2012), RazKids has altered student-reading growth significantly by building a solid reading foundation with leveled texts. Additionally the site recommends the program for daily reading use. The programs have similarities and differences but the ultimate goal of each program is to aid students in their literacy learning and comprehension. Each program attempts to accomplish and monitor, this goal through the use of online assessments.

**Online Assessments**

Online reading assessments are dynamic forms of print that can change the content displayed (Coiro, 2011). Differently are offline assessments that provide static information in print form (Coiro, 2011). Few educators and districts have considered the affects of online assessments on student understanding (Cioro, 2009). Many standardized tests are beginning to move digital for easy measuring capabilities to track student data and growth. Although assessments are beginning to be offered online, Cioro (2009), discusses the important notion that students will need new literacy skills to comprehend these online assessments. Furthermore, according to Caney, (1999) and Snyder & Bulfin (2008), “Online information texts also introduce infinite numbers of intertextual connections and intercultural negotiations that prompt new complexities for readers trying to synthesize and communicate information across globally linked Internet texts” (As cited in Coiro, 2011, p. 357). Both of these reports suggest that students need additional supports when completing assessments using a technological device. Although there is a need for additional reading strategies when completing online assessments, there are additional benefits to the online programs.
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As previously mentioned, with the introduction of “new technologies” (Cheung & Salvin, 2013) the implementation of online assessments will begin to make it easier for teachers to track student understanding and assessment results. With these additional results educators and districts may alter their teaching strategies to benefit students, and to create an individualized literacy-learning program, designed to enhance student comprehension.

Summary

Wolggramm, Suter, & Goksel (2016) discuss how listening becomes a precursor for reading comprehension with students. Students gain knowledge of topics by listening to stories and individuals before reading text independently; however, listening comprehension has become an additional reading skill with the wide use of digital texts. These digital programs offer a variety of texts in different forms that offer engagement, manipulation and assessment. All three reading programs discussed, RazKids (2016), TumbleBooks (2015) and Bookflix (2016), offer interactive videos, voices, and assessments to engage the reader. As previously stated in Coiro, (2009), with the use of these online assessments and features, students will need additional assessments skills separate from those taught with offline assessments. With these concepts in mind, this study will explore listening comprehension and the impact three online reading programs have on student comprehension, and their experiences using each program.

Methodology

This qualitative study, examines the influence of technology reading programs on student listening comprehension, and how experiences with these programs affect student
listening comprehension. The following sections discuss the participants of this case study and the methods used to collect data. Data was collected for an eight-week period using a variety of collection methods. The various data methods include: student observations, student interviews and listening comprehension assessments, created by, each of the online technological reading programs. The following sections outline the specific participants, setting, and data collection that has occurred across a four-week period.

Participants

The participants in this study were chosen because they were all second grade students in my classroom during the 2016-2017 school year. In my classroom there were twenty students of mixed race, religion and ethnicity. There were nine males and eleven females, and of the four students selected for this study three were male, and one was female. The participants in this study were chosen at random, from a pile of informed consent documents to prevent bias. An important academic aspect of each participant was his or her independent reading level. Students were assessed for reading levels regularly, biweekly, using Fountas and Pinnell’s benchmark reading program (Heinemann, 2016). Information regarding this reading program can be found in the Data Collection section of the Methods.

Setting

The setting for this study was in a second grade classroom located in an urban-suburban elementary school that included grades K-2nd in Conklin County (pseudonym) New England. The school was vibrant and full of young, energetic learners. The vibrancy was seen in each classroom, where students were engaging in a variety of academic
activities with movement, all taught by passionate teachers. I was the sole instructor in the second grade classroom and for all content areas including: Reading, Writing and Mathematics.

Within my classroom space students were seated in pairs to provide collaborative and independent workspace. In the corner of the classroom was the library where students browsed for traditional print text. Located at each students’ desk was a labeled bin for individual iPads. Students listened and used these iPads in two locations within the classroom. The locations were, their desks and at the carpet in front of a smart board (See Appendix A). During silent reading time, when students listened to various reading programs on the iPad, students were seated at their desks ready to complete the story assessment when the EBook was finished.

**Researcher’s Personality**

As the lead researcher and classroom teacher my role and responsibilities directly influence this study; therefore, my background with technology and my personal belief in technology use, will help me to explore my research questions. I grew up in a Caucasian middle class, suburban house hold with two working parents. I was fortunate enough to be provided with technological tools and supports, which provided me with success, in the classroom and at home. The use of technology at home and within my schools has shaped my background with technology. I was able to use technology programs for pleasure and academics, which allowed me to familiarize myself with different programs and their components.

Additionally, as an educator in a district that has adopted a “one to one initiative” I am directly influenced by technology use in the classroom. I am expected and expect
my students to use the iPads on a regular basis for literacy instruction and assessment.

With this expectation I believe technology instruction should be used within the classroom across all content areas. The use of technology not only creates engaged learners, as seen from my experiences, but it also teaches students skills needed in many twenty first century careers.

**Data Collection**

This research study was qualitative, and data was collected in the form of observations, student artifacts and student interviews. During the school day students participated in 15 minutes of silent independent reading. At this time students listened to one of three online reading programs: RazKids, TumbleBooks, BookFlix, and then completed a listening comprehension mini assessment of five multiple-choice, fill in the blank, and short answer questions. The data collection took place during this designated time of the school day. At this time, students were participating in the same task, but with varied leveled readers and topics of both interest and need.

To ensure that students were reading leveled book choices, students were assessed for reading levels regularly using Fountas and Pinnell’s benchmark reading program (Heinemann, 2016). Students entering second grade, according to Fountas and Pinnell, should be reading at a level J-N. These levels are determined through a system of characterizations on a variety of texts that analyze, structure, vocabulary, sentence complexity, theme and content (Fountas and Pinnell, 2016). These levels indicate that the students are reading grade level material. The following table lists the participants reading levels during the study, and indicates the grade level students should have been reading.
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*Table 1 Reading Levels of Participants*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Reading Level</th>
<th>Grade Level (According to Fountas &amp; Pinnell, 2016).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student 1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>J-N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 2</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>J-N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 3</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>J-N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 4</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>J-N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These levels vary based on Running Reading Records (Clay, 2013) analysis of miscues. Although these levels did not affect student participation of this study it is important to note that the various participants were reading at different levels and therefore; had been reading and listening to different eBooks in this study. The following sections explain the various collection tools used within this study.

**Observations.** Student observations were conducted during silent reading time using a narrative observation from (See Appendix B). I observed students, daily during silent reading time for 15 minutes. I observed the participants for reading strategies used when listening to text, such as, finger pointing, flipping back and forth between pages, and mouthing and mimicking the story. Additionally, I observed student attention and focus using each program, being mindful of book choice, time of day, and eye contact with the iPad and eBook. These observations sought to explore student experiences with the text and the influence their experiences may have on listening comprehension.

**Student assessment data & artifacts.** Student assessment data and artifacts were collected during the silent reading time as students finished listening to the reading program and eBook. The assessment was administered differently depending upon the reading program. Students that listened to an eBook using RazKids and TumbleBooks, had an assessment administered on the iPad following the end of the story (See Appendix C & D). Students listening to text using BookFlix were administered the listening
assessment questions in print form and then collected for further review and analysis (See Appendix E). These artifacts were administered after each completed eBook, daily. It took student participants between 5-10 minutes to complete the assessments. The artifacts were collected to explore the influence the eBooks and programs have on student listening comprehension.

**Student interviews.** Student interviews were analyzed for attitude and experiences relating to each technology-reading program. The interview sheet was semi-structured and was given once a week for 5 minutes with each participant (See Appendix F). The interviews were given to determine students’ experiences with each reading program, and how the programs influence or affected, his or her, listening comprehension. These semi-structured interviews explored students’ overall experiences with each eBook, discussing specifics about each story and how they felt after listening to the story.

**Procedures**

The following procedures were conducted over a six-week period in one-second-grade classroom. During the second grade class’ silent reading period I administered and selected technology-reading programs for each participant. The participants were to use the reading program on their iPad for 15-minutes. Participants were assigned these reading programs on a three-day cycle, to ensure that each program was used frequently. After each student completed one eBook from the reading programs, RazKids, TumbleBooks and BookFlix they completed a comprehension assessment. These assessments were administered directly after the eBook was complete in both hard copy and electronic. The RazKids comprehension assessment was given electronically using
the RazKids application on the iPad. All results were collected and sent electronically to my classroom data using the RazKids site. Similarly, TumbleBooks comprehension assessment was given electronically using the application. The results were collected and sent electronically to my classroom data using the TumbleBooks site. Lastly, BookFlix assessments were administered in a hard copy that students printed and took after they have completed the eBook. These student artifacts were collected each day and analyzed for listening comprehension.

This study follows a similar procedure to a study by Caimpa (2012), researching the effects of online reading programs on first grade students, in which students are observed using e-readers during reading instruction. In this study Ciampa (2012), observes the participant as they interact with the digital e-readers and programs. The research analyzes the students’ overall interactions with the program, looking for engagement and motivation. Therefore, I arranged and held daily observations of five participants using iPad-reading programs, during silent and independent reading time. Students were observed using narrative notes. These notes were collected each day for 15 minutes as students read and listened to each of the technology reading programs.

In addition, student interviews were conducted once a week during literacy intervention. During the literacy intervention period on Fridays students and participants in the classroom were assessed for reading levels using Running Reading Records (Clay, 2013). Additionally, at this time I conducted a semi-structured interview with the participants to discuss the technology-reading program used during silent independent reading. Each interview conducted was recorded using a smart phone and transcribed at the end of the day.
The timeline for each assessment, interview and observation was clearly stated for each participant (See Appendix G). As the sole educator in the classroom, it was important that each of these collection methods was performed ethically to maintain professionalism and confidentiality of the participants.

**Trustworthiness**

To ensure that my study was safe and ethical, The Institutional Review Board at the SUNY Brockport reviewed and approved my research proposal. Trustworthiness of this study was established through the use of triangulation; for that reason, I collected data sources from multiple types of data, including; observational notes, interviews and assessments (Clark, & Creswell, 2015). In order to prevent bias I conduct non-bias observations of each participant, and conduct these observations each day. To maintain student confidentiality of assessment artifacts, interviews and observations, participants were given a pseudonym to protect his or her identifies from study results and analysis. Although this study is qualitative and cannot be replicated, similar procedures and methods could be conducted in additional studies.

**Data Analysis**

The research analysis in this section focused on the importance of several theories in education today. As noted in the literature review, listening comprehension is prominent in classrooms today, and in the CCSS. With the incorporation of the “one-to-one” initiative of technology in classrooms, reading programs are designed to improve and assess student comprehension. The following section will discuss my findings, as a result of the following research questions; what influences do technological reading programs have on primary listening comprehension? How do students’ reported
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experiences with the different reading programs employed at my school, affect their listening comprehension? Using my research questions I developed my findings through analysis, codes and themes.

I reached the three following conclusions as a result of data analysis from observational notes, listening assessment results, and student interviews. I coded the observational notes for reading behaviors associated with listening to one of the three reading programs. According to Clark and Creswell (2015), I developed codes based on participants’ behaviors and feelings or experiences while listening to one of the three technology reading programs. Additionally I created themes based on similar codes from the observational notes of the students’ behaviors while reading which brought me to my first finding. These themes were developed through analysis of similar codes in the observational notes. (Clark and Creswell, 2015). I developed two reading behavior themes as a result of the observational notes, “engaging and disengaging reading behaviors.” Additionally, I analyzed the listening assessment results for correct and incorrect answers, in all three reading programs. I developed open codes using the student interviews, where I categorized the data into standard academic terms (Clark & Creswell, 2015). These open codes were based on my analysis of student preferences on each reading program used. I generalized student preference into two academic terms, either, enjoyment or lack of enjoyment when listening to a specific book or specific reading program. As a result of the coding and analysis I discovered three findings explained in the following sections.
Finding 1: The Impact of Engaging Body Language on Listening Comprehension

During initial observations I observed participants listening to one of the reading programs on their iPads. However, throughout my observations I began to notice participants displayed specific reading behaviors while listening with their iPads. These behaviors indicated engagement or lack of engagement with their text on the iPad. I noticed the students with “engaging body language” demonstrated greater listening comprehension, based on their listening assessment results, than those who exhibited “distracting body language.” These reading behaviors were themes I observed and identified across the data, during the silent reading process in the classroom. These behaviors indicated whether the student found the text on the iPad to be considered engaging or disengaging based on their display of body language while reading. The following table identified the following behaviors observed for all the participants. It was through the use of these observations that engagement influenced student listening comprehension.

Table 1. Body Language Indicators from Observational Notes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engaging Body Language</th>
<th>Distracting Body Language</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Laying on their stomach iPad on the floor in front of them.</td>
<td>- Sitting upright on the floor or at the student desk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Laying on their back iPad in the air.</td>
<td>- Lack of eye contact with the iPad screen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Eye contact with the screen</td>
<td>- Looking around the classroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Smiling or laughing while listening to the text.</td>
<td>- Talking with students near or around them</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Flipping through the eBooks with one or both hands.</td>
<td>- Consistent movement of feet or shifting of the body.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Choice of location in the classroom: rug, pillow, and beanbag chairs.</td>
<td>- Head in hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Choice of location in the classroom, desk.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As noted in Table 1, student choice of reading location in their classroom influenced their use of “engaging and disengaging reading behaviors” This also impacted listening comprehension. The various locations students read in the classroom included, student desks, tables, and classroom library with pillows, front rug, and beanbag chairs (See Appendix A). Based on Students 1, 2 and 3 choice of location their body language was affected as they listened to one of the three online reading programs. Student 2 demonstrated “engaging reading behaviors” and scored well on her listening assessment results. Student 4 exhibited “distracting reading behaviors” and scored poorly on the listening comprehension assessment results.

For instance, Student 2 listened to the reading program TumbleBooks and chose to sit on the rug in the front of the classroom (See Appendix A). While on the rug I observed Student 2 lying on her back and stomach laughing while listening to the story, all of which are indicators of “engaging reading behavior.” She stayed focused throughout the entire story and her assessment results demonstrated good listening comprehension. As indicated in Figure 1 below, Student 2 was able to answer 5/5 questions correctly after listening to her eBook on the iPad. Her engaging body language supported her listening comprehension.
Similarly, Student 3 listened to the reading program Bookflix and chose to sit in a beanbag chair. During my observations he maintained focus on the iPad screen, and did not move around in the beanbag chair. Student 3 exhibited relaxed body language, slumping himself all the way in the beanbag and laid his head back as if there was a pillow present. His attention and relaxing body language all indicated my theme of “engaging body language” and at the end of the silent reading time I had to ask Student 3 to stop listening to the stories; however, since he had continued to listen to additional stories, I provided extended time for him to complete the listening assessment. Student 3 demonstrated good listening comprehension by completing all of the assessment questions from the program Bookflix correctly with the additional time. Student 3’s assessment results are represented in Figure 2 below.

Figure 2 Student 3 Listening Comprehension Assessments from Bookflix
On the other hand, I observed Student 4, exhibiting “distracting reading behavior”, which affected his listening comprehension negatively. I observed Student 4 listening to a story on the reading program RazKids. He was sitting at his desk, with his hands in his head, flipping the electronic pages with his other hand. On this day, during silent reading time, several students in the classroom walked by Student 4 at his desk. As students passed by, Student 4 would glance up from his eBook to watch them. At one point Student 4 began kicking the floor beneath his desk, all while getting his foot caught between the desk and the chair leg. He spent additional time staring at his feet and fixing his foot, all while listening to the story on the iPad. As a result, Student 4 did poorly on his assessment results for RazKids scoring only a 3/5 on the listening assessment.

Therefore, student experiences and their engagement with the reading program coupled with their “engaging reading behavior” had an affect on his or her listening comprehension. If a student participant was not engaged with the program or story, their body language reflected this, and their lack of engagement discouraged them from listening to the content in the story. As previously stated, listening requires active engagement with the text (Shi, 2015). Without active engagement, the student participants did not comprehend the material no matter the reading program being accessed.

**Finding 2: Student Preference of Reading Program and the Features Influence Listening Comprehension**

All four participants in this study actively used the three reading programs in my classroom. Each program utilized a variety of features to benefit student readers and to improve their decoding, and comprehension skills. The student assessment results
indicated that, student participants’ listening comprehension was influenced due to the specific features implemented in each program. Additionally, student participants’ preference for each program and the features they provide influence their listening comprehension. Student participants indicated a preference for several reading programs due to the features and supports they provided the reader when listening to the eBooks. These features offered assistance to students when they completed the assessments, which impacted their listening comprehension. Specifically, in the reading program RazKids, students have the option of having the assessment questions read aloud from a recorded narrator, this feature is also found in the reading program Bookflix. This feature may assist students with understanding the comprehension question. However, TumbleBooks provides the reader with highlighted text during the story, where students are able to pause the story and replay the text for further understanding. Regardless of the reading program used by the students, the programs provide assisting features that benefited student learning and comprehension of the text.

The following table outlines the features included in each of the reading programs used in this data collection. It is important to note, that I did not analyze the three reading programs’ features in my data analysis. However, these features offer insight into student listening comprehension results and preference of reading programs and are important to understand what each program provides their readers. Based on the use of the reading programs and their reading features, student participants’ listening assessment results varied.
Table 2: Reading Program Features: RazKids, TumbleBooks, Bookflix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reading Program</th>
<th>Electronic Books and Library</th>
<th>Added Supports for decoding</th>
<th>Engaging Features</th>
<th>Assessments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RazKids</strong></td>
<td>Leveled Books (Aa-Zz)</td>
<td>- Continuous-play audio</td>
<td>Tools for note-taking</td>
<td>- eQuizzes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nursery Rhymes</td>
<td>- Highlighted text for follow-along</td>
<td>Audio voice</td>
<td>- Constructed responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Song Books</td>
<td>- Word audio playback</td>
<td>Interactive flip –book pages</td>
<td>- Benchmark assessments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poetry Books</td>
<td>- Vocabulary cards</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Running Records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TumbleBooks</strong></td>
<td>1100 book titles</td>
<td>Tracking systems</td>
<td>Animated talking pictures</td>
<td>- Lesson plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Books in Spanish, English and French</td>
<td>- Educators can track student progress through assessments.</td>
<td>Chapter books</td>
<td>- Quizzes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Character voices</td>
<td>- Educational games and puzzles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BookFlix</strong></td>
<td>Classic video story books</td>
<td>- Highlighted text</td>
<td>Interactive educational games</td>
<td>- Fact or fiction assessments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paired with non-fiction eBooks</td>
<td>- Read-a-long highlighted text</td>
<td>Music</td>
<td>- Vocabulary matching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Cursor on words will provide the word’s definition</td>
<td>Moving images</td>
<td>- Lesson plans and activities for educators</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Student 1.** Using the Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Reading System, Student 1 was reading at a level N. This is considered a second grade reading level. Student 1 was an active reader, who spent free time from instructional assignments reading at his desk. He frequently read series books including, *Diary of a Wimpy Kid,* and *Beezes and Ramona.* When asked prompting comprehension questions during guided reading, he was
able to provide the correct answer with additional support from the text. During my notation of the student assessment I noticed Student 1 consistently scored high on all of the listening assessments, regardless of the reading programs features. The following table outlines Student 1’s listening comprehension assessment results. The assessment results were scored differently, due to their differences in questioning. RazKids or RK was scored out of five possible questions, as well as, TumbleBooks or TB. Bookflix or BF was scored with an x for nothing correct, - for some correct, and + for all correct.

**Student 1 Assessment Results:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Monday</th>
<th>Tuesday</th>
<th>Wednesday</th>
<th>Thursday</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RK 5/5</td>
<td>TB 4/5</td>
<td>BF -</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>TB 5/5</td>
<td>BF -</td>
<td>RK 5/5</td>
<td>TB 5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BF +</td>
<td>RK 3/5</td>
<td>TB 5/5</td>
<td>No School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>No School</td>
<td>No School</td>
<td>No School</td>
<td>RK 5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>TB 5/5</td>
<td>BF +</td>
<td>RK 4/5</td>
<td>TB 4/5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the assessment results from each program, Student 1 successfully demonstrated good listening comprehension by scoring well on each assessment. When prompted during the student interviews, Student 1 suggested that he felt confident when listening to RazKids books.

**Researcher (me):** How do you feel when you listen to a story on the iPad?

**Student 1:** I feel good, I guess.

**Researcher:** How did you feel listening to “The Mystery Wind” on RazKids? You said that book was your favorite this week.
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Student 1: Um, confidant! (Puffing up his chest and smiling)

Researcher: Confidant, wow that’s great, why did you feel confident?

Student 1: If I need help the book helps me, it is all there for me to use, so it is helpful like teachers.

Researcher: I am so glad that the book helps you, but tell me how does the book help you?

Student 1: If I don’t know something then it tells me, like the word says it for me, and then it tells me all the options for the test and stuff.

From this interview and discussion of the text, Student 1 indicated that the reading program RazKids provided him with help, when reading and answering the assessment questions, in which Student 1 describes the feeling of confidence. Student 1’s feeling of confidence translated into the use of the features RazKids provides students when listening to the text and completing the listening assessment. Student 1’s assessment scores for RazKids also reflects his understand of the text and his feeling of confidence in the features as a means for support when completing the assessment.

Student 2. Using the Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Reading System, Student 2 was reading at a level H. This is considered a first grade reading level. Student 2 was a “socially butterfly” within the classroom, always communicating with her classmates. She struggled with decoding skills and was receiving additional reading support from a reading specialist. She enjoyed reading stories about animals, specifically horses. During silent reading she often chose to sit in a variety of locations in the classroom, changing her location on a regular basis. I observed on several occasions, she displayed “distracted reading behavior,” at times she would talk to the student sitting near her, on Wednesday
March 1, she spent time getting up from her reading to show me specific images of horses from the text she was listening to on RazKids. Although, Student 2 was distracted during her reading time, her listening assessment displayed a variety of scores; she often scored high when completing RazKids assessments rather than TumbleBooks or BookFlix. The following table outlines Student 2’s listening comprehension assessment results. The assessment results were scored differently, due to their differences in questioning. RazKids or RK was scored out of five possible questions, as well as, TumbleBooks or TB. BookFlix or BF was scored with an x for nothing correct, - for some correct, and + for all correct.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Monday</th>
<th>Tuesday</th>
<th>Wednesday</th>
<th>Thursday</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RK 5/5</td>
<td>TB 3/5</td>
<td>BF -</td>
<td>RK 5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>TB 4/5</td>
<td>BF -</td>
<td>RK 4/5</td>
<td>TB 2/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BF -</td>
<td>RK 5/5</td>
<td>TB 3/5</td>
<td>No School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>No School</td>
<td>No School</td>
<td>No School</td>
<td>RK 5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>TB 3/5</td>
<td>BF X</td>
<td>RK 4/5</td>
<td>TB 4/5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the assessment results for Student 2, she demonstrates positive listening comprehension skills for the reading program RazKids, which all of her scores indicated a 4/5 or a 5/5 for understanding. However, during an interview with Student 2 she indicated that the use of the reading program BookFlix made her understanding of the material more difficult.

**Researcher (me):** Tell me about a story you just listening to.
Student 2: It was Diary of a Worm.

Researcher: What reading program is that book on?

Student 2: Bookflix (Smiles)

Researcher: Please, tell me more about this Diary of a worm.

Student 2: Well it is about a worm that does stuff everyday.

Researcher: What type of stuff does this worm do?

Student 2: I’m not sure, like goes to school and plays with friends.

Researcher: How did you feel when you listened to this book?

Student 2: I felt happy because it is like watching a movie. (Smiles)

Researcher: A movie? Wow, that is nice but isn’t this suppose to be a book?

Student 2: I think so but it looks and sounds like a movie, there is people talking and music playing, so it makes me feel like I am at the movies, not a book.

Through this interaction Student 2 indicated that the book she listened to felt more like a movie than a story. She mentioned the features of music, and “people talking” in her explanation of the story. Also, her assessment results for Bookflix indicate a lack of listening comprehension understanding, since she scored nothing (X) or some correct (-) in her assessment results.

Student 3. Using the Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Reading System, Student 3 was reading at a level N. This is considered a second grade reading level. Student 3 was an avid reader, who enjoyed reading during independent student time. He spent a lot of time discussing books with his peers and enjoyed reading all different types of non-fiction. During my silent reading observations, he actively chose to sit in the classroom library or on the classroom rug. Based on his assessment results, there was no indication
that any book features hindered or supported his listening comprehension. On all three reading programs he scored high on the listening comprehension assessments. The following table outlines Student 3’s listening comprehension assessment results. The assessment results were scored differently, due to their differences in questioning. RazKids or RK are scored out of five possible questions, as well as, TumbleBooks or TB. Bookflix or BF are scored with an x for nothing correct, - for some correct, and + for all correct.

*Student 3 Assessment Results*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Monday</th>
<th>Tuesday</th>
<th>Wednesday</th>
<th>Thursday</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RK 5/5</td>
<td>TB 5/5</td>
<td>BF +</td>
<td>RK 4/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>TB 5/5</td>
<td>BF +</td>
<td>RK 5/5</td>
<td>TB 5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BF +</td>
<td>RK 4/5</td>
<td>TB 5/5</td>
<td>No School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>No School</td>
<td>No School</td>
<td>No School</td>
<td>RK 5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>TB 5/5</td>
<td>BF +</td>
<td>RK 4/5</td>
<td>TB 4/5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although there is no indication that Student 3 used any supporting features from the text, his consistent demonstration of positive listening assessment results indicated that through the use of all three reading programs he was able to understand the text presented in the eBooks regardless of his preference for the reading program or the features they provide.

*Student 4.* Using the Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Reading System, Student 4 was reading at a level J. This is considered an early second grade reading level. Student 4 did not display a love of reading. During whole class read aloud he appeared distracted
and unable to answer any prompting comprehension questions. He loved to be active and enjoyed socializing with his classmates. My observations of this student during silent reading indicated that others often distracted him. However, when Student 4 completed listening assessments using the program RazKids and Bookflix he scored higher than when using the program TumbleBooks. The following table outlines Student 4’s listening comprehension assessment results. The assessment results were scored differently, due to their differences in questioning. RazKids or RK was scored out of five possible questions, as well as, TumbleBooks or TB. Bookflix or BF was scored with an x for nothing correct, - for some correct, and + for all correct.

**Student 4 Assessment Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Monday</th>
<th>Tuesday</th>
<th>Wednesday</th>
<th>Thursday</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RK 4/5</td>
<td>TB 2/5</td>
<td>BF -</td>
<td>RK 4/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>TB 3/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BF -</td>
<td>RK 3/5</td>
<td>TB 4/5</td>
<td>No School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>No School</td>
<td>No School</td>
<td>No School</td>
<td>RK 5/5 Dinosaur Book Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>TB 5/5</td>
<td>BF -</td>
<td>RK 4/5</td>
<td>TB 1/5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using the assessment results I coded my interviews with Student 4 to determine any connections between RazKids, Bookflix and their assessment features with Student 4’s understanding of the text. On several interviews with Student 4 he indicated that RazKids made reading easier and often offered more books on topics he enjoyed listening and reading about, than TumbleBooks and Bookflix. Such topics included, animal literature like, Hibernation, A Day at the Beach, and Dinosaur Discoveries. In another
interview I was surprised to learn that Student 4 felt comfortable with the RazKids program because of his past experiences in other classrooms with the program and its’ features.

**Researcher (me):** What was your favorite story you listened to this week?

**Student 4:** Dinosaur Discoveries.

**Researcher:** Why was that story your favorite?

**Student 4:** I like dinosaurs.

**Researcher:** What did the story tell you about dinosaurs?

**Student 4:** It told me stuff like that scientist fined fossils and bones from dead dinosaurs. You have to be really careful when looking at them. I know a lot about dinosaurs you know.

Student 4 took a liking to this text and produced positive listening assessment results after completing the assessment (see Student 4 chart above). When a student, like Student 4, can make a personal connection to the text along with the supportive features, his or her listening comprehension can benefit.

**Finding 3: Student Experience Impacts Listening Comprehension**

Student participants that enjoyed one reading program over another often listened to the eBooks from that reading program, and this had an effect on student listening comprehension. During student interviews, I coded the data for the reading programs mentioned most frequently as a story that students liked or did not like during silent reading. Based on the interview conversations, assessment results and student observations when a student preferred one reading program over another, their listening comprehension assessment were affected.
For instance, Student 1 discussed a story he listened to on Bookflix. During the interview he mentions that the text was like a movie, and that his text was his favorite book he listened to over the week.

_Researcher:_ Tell me about the story you just listened to.

_Student 1:_ I like when the penguins move in the snow and they play music. The penguins dance and slide.

_Researcher:_ What book did you read?

_Student 1:_ Antarctic Antics.

_Researcher:_ What did you like or dislike about the story?

_Student 1:_ I like the music and the penguins move around a lot.

_Researcher:_ Was this your favorite story you listened to?

_Student 1:_ Yes

_Researcher:_ Why was this story your favorite?

_Student 1:_ It’s a movie not a story

_Researcher:_ Why do you say it is a movie?

_Student 1:_ Because there is music and I do not have to read the words.

Student 1 indicated that this story was his favorite for the week, and that the text was similar to a movie, in which case he enjoyed the music, and penguins singing and dancing as he listened to the eBook. On several other occasions Student 1 indicated that the story he listened to during the week on Bookflix was his favorite story he listened to. Student 1 scored a + on the listening assessment for Antarctic Antics, demonstrating that he completed all the questions correctly. The correctly answered questions would indicate that he had demonstrated listening comprehension.
However, Student 4 showed an increased interest in the reading program RazKids. He mentioned in several interviews that this program provided him with books on topics that he enjoyed reading. Despite the amount of choice and interest Student 4 had with the program RazKids, on one occasion he chose a story that did not interest him.

**Researcher:** Tell me about the story you just listened to

**Student 4:** A book about 100 days of school. This kids made something to bring to school for 100 days.

**Researcher:** What did you like or dislike about the story?

**Student 4:** I liked that the kid had help from her mom and dad

**Researcher:** Was this your favorite story you listened to?
Student 4: Shrugs shoulders

Researcher: What didn’t you like about it?

Student 4: It was kind of boring.

Student 4 mentioned that this story was boring, and during the interview he was not engaged in any questions or discussion about the eBook. I found this interesting since the interview data indicated that he preferred the RazKids’ eBooks to all other programs used in this study. Student 4’s lack of interest in this text affected his listening comprehension for this story he scored a 3/5 on this listening assessment.

Through the student interviews the student enjoyed using each of the programs differently and for Students 1, 2 and 4 this affected their listening comprehension results. When using the program and eBook they enjoyed the most, their listening assessment results improved. For Student 3 his assessment results maintained positive results throughout the use of all three reading programs, although he indicated his preference and enjoyment when he listened to eBooks on TumbleBooks.

Discussion

Conclusions

During this research data were collected for a five-week period, and three findings were determined after data analysis, which lead to three conclusions that directly reflect the research questions. My initial research questions sought to determine the influence that technology-reading programs have on student listening comprehension. I conclude that these programs do influence listening comprehension through student preference of, and engagement with these reading programs, as well as, the supportive features the reading programs provide. The additional research question sought to explore student
experiences with these reading programs and how these experiences affected their
listening comprehension. These conclusions add insights into understanding the use of
and implementation of technology reading programs in the classroom. I conclude that: 1)
Body language and location of reading affects listening comprehension; 2) The reading
programs’ features and student preference of reading program influences listening
comprehension; 3) Choice of reading program and eBook affects listening
comprehension.

Conclusion 1: **Body language and location of reading.** Based on my findings
student reading location in the classroom, and their engagement with the eBook
influenced their listening comprehension. Through the use of student observational notes,
my first finding focused on student reading behaviors. During observations I noticed each
of the student participants engaged with the text in a different way, and much of this
depended on student reading location in the classroom. I observed two types of student
reading behaviors and through these observations I developed two themes: engaging and
disengaging reading behavior. Students that displayed “engaging reading behavior” such
as, eye contact with the text, reading in a beanbag chair or pillow, demonstrated listening
comprehension when completing the eBook assessment; however, students that displayed
“disengaging reading behavior” such as, lack of eye contact, sitting at their desk,
consistently moving, demonstrated poor listening comprehension. According to
researchers Jones and Brown (2011), there is a correlation between reading engagement
and reading achievement. Reading engagement and its influence on comprehension was
also evident in several studies (Guthre, et al, 2004, Singer & Alexander, 2015, & Caimpa,
2012) that found that if a student demonstrated engagement he or she produced greater
understanding. Students that are more engaged with a text demonstrate better reading achievement and reading comprehension with a text. Therefore, the student participants in this study demonstrated both engaging and disengaging reading behaviors, which influenced their comprehension with the eBook, and reading program.

**Conclusion 2: Student preference & program features.** During analysis of student listening assessments and through student interviews my findings indicated that students’ preference of the reading programs’ features influenced their listening comprehension. Each of these reading programs in this study provided students with a variety of supportive reading features to assist and support their comprehension. In other research, one of the main reasons for the development of these technology-reading programs is to provide support for all student learners (Cheung & Salvin, 2012). The three reading programs provided each student with features that are both engaging and supportive to assist students’ understanding of the eBook. My findings support the ultimate goal of the “one-to-one” initiative and the use of these reading programs, which is to support struggling readers, with their decoding, and comprehension. According to Hutchinson et al., (2012), “scholars have argued, that digital texts support individual readers’ text comprehension” (pg. 2). The features provided in each of the reading programs in this study assisted students with engagement, such as moving images, or support, such as definitions and highlighted text. These supportive features influenced their listening comprehension. Additionally, students indicated a preference for reading programs in their student interviews. In my findings I found that participants’ preference with certain programs derived from their comfort level with navigating and using the supportive tools and functions provided by the reading program. This means that students
that felt more comfortable with one of the reading programs’ features indicated a preference for that reading program which supported their comprehension. This is also evident in a study conducted by Pearman (2008), in which students individualize their reading experiences, by selecting words, and phrases in the eBook that they need assistance with to benefit their comprehension.

**Conclusion 3: Student choice and experience.** Student participants’ choice and preference of eBooks and reading program affected their listening comprehension. Through analysis of student interviews, participants that enjoyed the eBook they listened to and enjoyed the reading program demonstrated positive listening comprehension. This is also evident in a study by Flowerday, Schraw, and Stevens (2004), in which they state, “students learn more or perform more efficiently when given choices about their learning” (pg. 94). However, students that did not enjoy the eBook or reading program did not demonstrate listening comprehension. Therefore, student engagement and motivation to comprehend the text was compromised when students did not enjoy the eBook. Student choice of the text benefited student comprehension because they were motivated to listen and comprehend the material presented. Additionally, these findings were supported through Ciampa’s (2012) study, in which, researchers findings indicated a variety of reading choices and the students opportunity to select the eBooks impacted their reading engagement and also their listening comprehension.

**Implications**

Using the conclusions found in this study, I have developed three implications for future and current teachers, including my own teaching practices designed to benefit students. Teachers should, consider reading location in their own classrooms and specific
TECHNOLOGY READING PROGRAMS AND THEIR IMPACT ON LISTENING COMPREHENSION

reading programs for student literacy learning. Teachers should consider student choice when listening to the eBooks in all three reading programs.

**Classroom location.** Based on my findings student location within the classroom enhanced my two themes: engaging or disengaging reading behavior. Based on these themes my findings suggested student listening comprehension improved when student participants displayed engaging reading behavior. Student listening comprehension was negatively affected when student participants displayed disengaging reading behavior. Additionally, these reading behaviors were influenced based on student reading location in the classroom. I found that providing students with varied reading locations provided them a chance to engage the eBooks. I suggest that teachers provide varied locations and options for reading including, rugs, pillows, and alternate seating like beanbags or small chairs. These varied locations may provide students an opportunity to engage with the eBooks, which would influence their listening comprehension.

**Reading levels and programs go hand-in-hand.** One of the challenges these reading programs provide teachers and educators is the vast amount of features and reading supports implemented to aid student readers. Based on my findings the variety of features and supports, and students’ preference for these features and supports influenced their listening comprehension. Teachers should consider researching these programs and the supports they provide students, and how they may assist students various reading levels. Using their knowledge of the features, eBook genres and supports, teachers can provide students with the correct reading program to support their students’ listening comprehension. Such features include, highlighted text and work definitions, which could assist students in their comprehension of the material. The computer’s reading of the text
allows students to focus on the content rather than decoding (Ciampa, 2012). Students require different supports when reading at different levels, these reading programs may provide students with additional supportive features and eBook genres that interest them so they can improve their listening comprehension.

**Student choice of eBook matters.** Students in my classroom love the opportunity to choose for themselves. They love to choose, their seat, lunch, coloring sheet and eBook story and program. The indication that choice affected listening comprehension was evident in student interviews and discussions. On several occasions students discussed their favorite eBooks and reading programs. Their choice of reading program and preference for the eBook affected their listening comprehension. This indicated that when students enjoyed the eBook their listening comprehension and understanding reflected that, but when students did not enjoyed the eBook they did not display good listening comprehension. Based on these findings I suggest that teacher provide students the opportunity to choose eBooks based on their interest. According to Cheung and Salvin (2013) the use of technology, such as the iPad, has allowed students’ learning to become specific and is meant to create independence for student learners. Teachers should also provide students the correct tools they need to navigate the vast genres of eBooks in each reading program. This would allow students to take ownership in their own reading and improve their literacy learning.

**Limitations**

There were several factors that caused limitations within this study. First, this study was conducted over 5 weeks in one-second-grade classroom. Additionally, there were factors that limited time for data collection, which included, weather related school
closings. With the large amount of time off from these weather related incidents the data collection was limited during the 5-week collection period, make it more difficult to draw valid conclusions. Second, the number of participants involved was small. There was difficulty in receiving consent from participants’ guardians at the start of this study. The small amount of participants only allowed for observations, and interviews with 4 students. A larger pool of student participants would have allowed for greater exploration of student experiences with the technology reading programs and a greater look at the influences these programs have on a wide variety of student learners. A study conducted with more participants and over a course of a longer period of time would help to improve the validity of this study.

**Future Research**

Based on my findings and research questions I have several suggestions for future research on this topic. There are many technology reading programs offered to schools, including the three reading programs used in this study: RazKids, TumbleBooks and Bookflix. I suggest research be done to assess and analyze technology reading programs for their supportive features and eBook libraries, to determine which programs best support student literacy learning. As a result from this study, the reading program RazKids, provided supportive features for listening comprehension. Additional research should be conducted to determine which programs provide supportive features to all students, and how teachers can use those supportive features in classroom instruction of literacy learning.

With the assessment data collected in this study I began to consider ways I could implement and change my instruction to better meet the literacy needs of my students.
Additional research should be conducted to assist teachers with data analysis; using assessment results from each of these reading programs to assess student understanding and literacy learning. Researchers and teachers should consider ways to use the comprehension data from the reading programs to reflect and monitor student understanding. This research could assist teachers with assessment strategies and new ways to teach literacy learning using technology.

**Closing**

The findings and conclusions in this study provide insights into technological learning in elementary classrooms today. According to Ciampa (2012), “A position statement from the International Reading Association called for an intensive program of research on literacy and technology issues that will enable us to better understand the rapid changes taking place in the nature of literacy and literacy instruction” (pg. 1). The analysis of three prominent reading programs, RazKids, TumbleBooks, and Bookflix benefits educators’ knowledge of the supportive features and assessments that may assist student listening comprehension. The findings also demonstrate the importance of student-centered learning in which, students are provided a choice of eBook and program, as well as, a choice of reading location in their own classroom, to promote engagement with the text which, influences their listening comprehension.
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APPENDIX A

Classroom Map

- Reading Corner
  - Classroom Library with large sitting pillows

- 3 Bean Bag Chairs

- Large Classroom Rug in front of the SMART board

- Student desks 3 at each group
- Student desks 3 at each group
- Student desks 3 at each group
- Student desks 3 at each group
- Student desks 3 at each group
- Student desks 4 at each group
Appendix B

Observation Sheet

Key: RazKids- RK
TumbleBooks- TB
BookFlix- BF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week of</th>
<th>Monday</th>
<th>Tuesday</th>
<th>Wednesday</th>
<th>Thursday</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student 1</td>
<td>Program used</td>
<td>Program used</td>
<td>Program used</td>
<td>Program used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 2</td>
<td>Program used</td>
<td>Program used</td>
<td>Program used</td>
<td>Program used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 3</td>
<td>Program used</td>
<td>Program used</td>
<td>Program used</td>
<td>Program used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_____</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student 4</th>
<th>Program used</th>
<th>Program used</th>
<th>Program used</th>
<th>Program used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student 5</th>
<th>Program used</th>
<th>Program used</th>
<th>Program used</th>
<th>Program used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Appendix C
RazKids Assessment

![RazKids Assessment](image)
Appendix D

TumbleBooks Assessment

TumbleQuiz

Diary of a Fly

Name:

1. Fly had a problem with her school picture because ______.

1 she was scared of the photographer
2 she forgot her glasses
3 she was blushing
4 her eyes were looking in all directions

2. Flies beat their wings _____ times per second.

1 1000
2 9000
3 200
4 10

3. Spider's grandfather taught _____.

1 the food chain does not exist
2 the food chain is very short
3 flies are important in the food chain
4 flies are not in the food chain

4. Fly was nervous because she thought she would be the only one at school ______.

1 that eats regurgitated food
2 that flies
3 that doesn't love homework
4 that was late

5. Things they should teach you in flight class:

1 Have fun
2 Always have a flight plan
3 Drink water
4 Never stop
Appendix E

BookFlix Assessment
Appendix F

Interview Sheet

Interviews completed at on Fridays
Student ______  Time _____  Date _____

1. Tell me about the story you just listened to.

2. What did you like or dislike about the story?

3. What was your favorite story you listened to this week? Why was that story your favorite?

4. How do you feel when you listen to a story on the iPad?
Appendix G

Student Reading Schedule
Student Interview Schedule

Silent Reading Book Schedule from 1:00-1:20pm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Monday</th>
<th>Tuesday</th>
<th>Wednesday</th>
<th>Thursday</th>
<th>Friday</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student 1</td>
<td>RK</td>
<td>TB</td>
<td>BF</td>
<td>RK</td>
<td>Interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 2</td>
<td>RK</td>
<td>TB</td>
<td>BF</td>
<td>RK</td>
<td>Interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 3</td>
<td>RK</td>
<td>TB</td>
<td>BF</td>
<td>RK</td>
<td>Interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student 4</td>
<td>RK</td>
<td>TB</td>
<td>BF</td>
<td>RK</td>
<td>Interview</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observations Each Day from 1:00-1:15pm

Key: TB- Tumble Books
RK- RazKids
BF- BookFlix